

LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATION OF GAMMA RAY BURSTS DURATION DISTRIBUTION

Istvan Horváth

Bolyai Military University, Budapest, e-mail: hoi@bjkmf.hu

Two classes of Gamma Ray Bursts have been identified so far, characterized by T_{90} durations shorter and longer than approximately 2 seconds. It was shown that the BATSE 3B data allow a good fit with three Gaussian distributions in $\log T_{90}$ [4]. In the same Volume in ApJ. another paper suggested that the third class of GRBs is may exist [11]. Using the full BATSE catalog here we present the maximum likelihood estimation, which gives us 0,5% probability to having only two subclasses. The MC simulation confirms this probability.

In the BATSE current catalog [8] there are 2702 Gamma-Ray Bursts (GRBs), of which 2041 have duration information. [6] have identified two types of GRB based on durations, for which the value of T_{90} (the time during which 90% of the fluence is accumulated) is respectively smaller or larger than 2 s. This bimodal distribution has been further quantified in other papers [7], [5] where a two-Gaussian fit were made. Previously we have published an article [4], where two and three Gaussian fits were made using the χ^2 method, which gave us app. 0,02% significance the third group is needed. This is an agreement with the [11] result, who used a multivariate analysis and find that the probability of existence of two clusters rather than three is less than 10^{-4} . [1] also confirmed this result by statistical clustering analysis, however they suggested the third group was caused by instrumental biases [1], [2]. Recently, remarkable anisotropy was found in the angular distribution of this third group [10]. In this paper we take another attempt at the trimodal distribution, evaluating the probability that the two populations are independent using the maximum likelihood estimation.

For this investigation we have used a smaller set of 1929 burst durations in the current catalog, because these have peak flux information as well. Firstly we take a two Gaussian fit for the duration which gives us a best parameters of the two Gaussian fit, which are very similar than previously was published [4]. Secondly we take a three Gaussian fit. The means are -.25; .63; 1.55 in lgs. These fits gives us the best logarithm's of the likelihoods 12320.11 and 12326.25. Twice of the difference of these numbers follows the χ^2 distribution with three degree of freedom because the new fit has three more parameters [12]. The difference is 6.14 which gives us a 0.5% probability. Therefore the third Gaussian fit is much better and there is a 0.005 chance the third Gaussian is caused by statistical fluctuation.

One can check the probability using the Monte-Carlo (MC) simulation. Generate 1929 numbers for T_{90} whose distribution follow the sum of two Gaussian distributions. Find the best likelihood with five free parameters (two means two sigmas and two weights, but the sum of the last two must be 1929). Secondly made a fit with three Gaussian (eight free parameters, three means,

sigmas and weights). Take a difference between the two logarithm's of the maximum likelihoods, which gives one number. We do the process 100 times and have a hundred MC simulated numbers. Only one of these numbers is bigger than which the BATSE data has (6.14). Therefore the MC simulation confirm the mathematical low statement and gives us a similar probability if the third group is a statistical fluctuation.

The BATSE on-board software tests for the existence of bursts by comparing the count rates to the threshold levels for three separate time intervals: 64, 256, 1024 ms. The efficiency changes in the region of the middle area because the 1024 ms trigger is becoming less sensitive as burst durations fall below about one second. This means that at the "intermediate" timescale a large systematic deviation is possible. To reduce the effects of trigger systematics in this region we truncated the dataset to include only GRBs that would have triggered BATSE on the 64 ms timescale. Using the Current BATSE catalog CmaxCmin table [9] we choose the GRBs, which numbers larger than one in the second column (64 ms scale maximum counts divided by the threshold count rate). Although this process reduced the bursts numbers very much (only 857 GRBs remain) the significance level still stay below 1%.

It is possible that the three log-normal fit is accidental, and that there are only two types of GRB. However, if the T_{90} distribution of these two types of GRBs is log-normal, then the probability that the third group of GRBs is an accidental fluctuation is less than 0.5-1.0 %.

This research was supported in part through OTKA F029461 and T34549. Useful discussions with M. Briggs, E. Fenimore, J. Hakkila, P. Mészáros, are appreciated.

References

1. Hakkila, J., et al. 2000. ApJ, 538, 165
2. Hakkila, J., et al. 2000. Gamma-Ray Burst Fifth Huntsville Symposium. Huntsville, Alabama. AIP 526. Melville. p. 48
3. Horváth, I., Mészáros, P., & Mészáros, A. 1996, ApJ, 470, 56
4. Horváth, I., 1998, ApJ, 508, 757
5. Koshut, T. M., et al. 1996, ApJ, 463, 570
6. Kouveliotou, C., et al. 1993, ApJ, 413, L101
7. Kouveliotou, C., et al. 1995, Third Huntsville Symposium on GRB. New York: in AIP Conference Proceedings 384, 84-89
8. Meegan C. A., et al. 1996, ApJS, 106, 65
9. Meegan C. A., et al. 2000, Current BATSE Gamma-Ray Burst Catalog, on the Internet <http://www.batse.msfc.nasa.gov/data/grb/catalog/>
10. Mészáros, A., Bagoly, Z., Horváth, I., Balázs, & Vavrek., R. 2000, ApJ, 539, 98
11. Mukherjee, S., Feigelson, E. D., Babu, G. J., Murtagh, F., Fraley, C., & Raftery, A. 1998, ApJ, 508, 314
12. Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W.T., & Flannery B. P. 1992, Numerical Recipes in Fortran, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge